GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 1991

H 2

HOUSE BILL 843 Committee Substitute Favorable 5/6/91

Short Title: Strengthen DWI Laws.	(Public)
Sponsors:	_
Referred to:	_

April 17, 1991

1 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED 2 AN ACT TO AMEND THE SAFE ROADS ACT. 3 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

Section 1. G.S. 20-12.1(a) reads as rewritten:

- It is unlawful for any person to accompany another person driving a motor vehicle, in accordance with G.S. 20-11, or instruct another person driving a motor vehicle, in accordance with G.S. 20-7(l-1) and (m) or G.S. 20-12:
 - While the person accompanying or instructing is under the influence of (1) an impairing substance; or
 - After having consumed sufficient alcohol that he has, at any relevant (2) time after the driving, an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more."

Sec. 2. G.S. 20-16.2(a) reads as rewritten:

- Basis for Charging Officer to Require Chemical Analysis; Notification of Rights. – Any person who drives a vehicle on a highway or public vehicular area thereby gives consent to a chemical analysis if he is charged with an implied-consent The charging officer must designate the type of chemical analysis to be administered, and it may be administered when he has reasonable grounds to believe that the person charged has committed the implied-consent offense. Except as provided in subsection (b), the person charged must be taken before a chemical analyst authorized to administer a test of a person's breath, who must inform the person orally and also give him a notice in writing that:
 - He has a right to refuse to be tested. (1)

(2) Refusal to take any required test or tests will result in an immediate 1 revocation of his driving privilege for at least 10 days and an 2 3 additional 12-month revocation by the Division of Motor Vehicles. The test results, or the fact of his refusal, will be admissible in 4 (3) 5 evidence at trial on the offense charged. 6 **(4)** His driving privilege will be revoked immediately for at least 10 days 7 if: 8 The test reveals an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more; a. 9 or 10 b. He was driving a commercial motor vehicle and the test reveals an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more. 11 12 (5) He may have a qualified person of his own choosing administer a 13 chemical test or tests in addition to any test administered at the 14 direction of the charging officer. 15 (6) He has the right to call an attorney and select a witness to view for him 16 the testing procedures, but the testing may not be delayed for these 17 purposes longer than 30 minutes from the time he is notified of his 18 rights." 19 Sec. 3. G.S. 20-16.2(i) reads as rewritten: 20 Right to Chemical Analysis before Arrest or Charge. – A person stopped or "(i) 21 questioned by a law-enforcement officer who is investigating whether the person may have committed an implied-consent offense may request the administration of a 22 23 chemical analysis before any arrest or other charge is made for the offense. Upon this 24 request, the officer must afford the person the opportunity to have a chemical analysis of his breath, if available, in accordance with the procedures required by G.S. 20-25 139.1(b). The request constitutes the person's consent to be transported by the law-26 27 enforcement officer to the place where the chemical analysis is to be administered. Before the chemical analysis is made, the person must confirm his request in writing 28 29 and he must be notified: 30 That the test results will be admissible in evidence and may be used (1) against him in any implied-consent offense that may arise; 31 32 That his license will be revoked for at least 10 days if: 33 The test reveals an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more; a. 34 or He was driving a commercial motor vehicle and the test results 35 b. reveal an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more. 36 That if he fails to comply fully with the test procedures, the officer 37 (3) 38 may charge him with any offense for which the officer has probable 39 cause, and if he is charged with an implied-consent offense, his refusal to submit to the testing required as a result of that charge would result 40 in revocation of his driver's license. The results of the chemical 41 42 analysis are admissible in evidence in any proceeding in which they are relevant." 43

Sec. 4. G.S. 20-16.5(b) reads as rewritten:

44

- 1991 GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA Revocations for Persons Who Refuse Chemical Analyses or Have Alcohol 1 2 Concentrations of 0.10-0.08 or More After Driving a Motor Vehicle or of 0.04 or More 3 After Driving a Commercial Vehicle. – A person's driver's license is subject to revocation under this section if: 4 5 A charging officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the person (1) 6 has committed an offense subject to the implied-consent provisions of 7 G.S. 20-16.2; 8 The person is charged with that offense as provided in G.S. 20-16.2(a); (2) 9 (3) The charging officer and the chemical analyst comply with the 10 procedures of G.S. 20-16.2 and G.S. 20-139.1 in requiring the person's submission to or procuring a chemical analysis; and 11 12 **(4)** The person: 13 a. Willfully refuses to submit to the chemical analysis; 14 b. Has an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more within a 15 relevant time after the driving; or 16 Has an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more at any relevant c. time after the driving of a commercial vehicle." 17 18 Sec. 5. G.S. 20-16.5(b1) reads as rewritten: "(b1) Precharge Test Results as Basis for Revocation. - Notwithstanding the 19 20 provisions of subsection (b), a person's driver's license is subject to revocation under 21 this section if: 22 (1) He requests a precharge chemical analysis pursuant to G.S. 20-16.2(i); 23 and 24
 - (2) He has:

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43 44

- a. An alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more at any relevant time after driving; or
- An alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more at any relevant time b. after driving a commercial motor vehicle; and
- He is charged with an implied-consent offense."

Sec. 6. G.S. 20-138.1(a) reads as rewritten:

- Offense. A person commits the offense of impaired driving if he drives any vehicle upon any highway, any street, or any public vehicular area within this State:
 - While under the influence of an impairing substance; or **(1)**
 - After having consumed sufficient alcohol that he has, at any relevant (2) time after the driving, an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more."

Sec. 7. G.S. 20-179(g) reads as rewritten:

Level One Punishment. – A defendant subject to Level One punishment may ''(g)be fined up to two thousand dollars (\$2,000) five thousand dollars (\$5,000) and must be sentenced to a term of imprisonment that includes a minimum term of not less than 14 days and a maximum term of not more than 24 months. The term of imprisonment may be suspended only if a condition of special probation is imposed to require the defendant to serve a term of imprisonment of at least 14 days. If the defendant is placed on probation, the judge must, if required by subsections (1) or (m), impose the conditions relating to treatment and education described in those subsections. The judge may impose any other lawful condition of probation. If the judge does not place on probation a defendant who is otherwise subject to the mandatory assessment and treatment provisions of subsection (m), he must include in the record of the case his reasons for not doing so."

Sec. 8. G.S. 20-179(h) reads as rewritten:

"(h) Level Two Punishment. – A defendant subject to Level Two punishment may be fined up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and must be sentenced to a term of imprisonment that includes a minimum term of not less than seven days and a maximum term of not more than 12 months. The term of imprisonment may be suspended only if a condition of special probation is imposed to require the defendant to serve a term of imprisonment of at least seven days. If the defendant is placed on probation, the judge must, if required by subsections (l) or (m), impose the conditions relating to treatment and education described in those subsections. The judge may impose any other lawful condition of probation. If the judge does not place on probation a defendant who is otherwise subject to the mandatory assessment and treatment provisions of subsection (m), he must include in the record of the case his reasons for not doing so."

Sec. 9. G.S. 20-179(i) reads as rewritten:

- "(i) Level Three Punishment. A defendant subject to Level Three punishment may be fined up to five hundred dollars (\$500.00) two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) and must be sentenced to a term of imprisonment that includes a minimum term of not less than 72 hours and a maximum term of not more than six months. The term of imprisonment must be suspended, on the condition that the defendant:
 - (1) Be imprisoned for a term of at least 72 hours as a condition of special probation; or
 - (2) Perform community service for a term of at least 72 hours; or
 - (3) Not operate a motor vehicle for a term of at least 90 days; or
 - (4) Any combination of these conditions.

The judge in his discretion may impose any other lawful condition of probation and, if required by subsections (l) or (m), must impose the conditions relating to treatment and education described in those subsections. This subsection does not affect the right of a defendant to elect to serve the suspended sentence of imprisonment as provided in G.S. 15A-1341(c)."

Sec. 10. G.S. 20-179(j) reads as rewritten:

- "(j) Level Four Punishment. A defendant subject to Level Four punishment may be fined up to two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) two thousand dollars (\$2,000) and must be sentenced to a term of imprisonment that includes a minimum term of not less than 48 hours and a maximum term of not more than 120 days. The term of imprisonment must be suspended, on the condition that the defendant:
 - (1) Be imprisoned for a term of 48 hours as a condition of special probation; or
 - (2) Perform community service for a term of 48 hours; or
 - (3) Not operate a motor vehicle for a term of 60 days; or
 - (4) Any combination of these conditions.

The judge in his discretion may impose any other lawful condition of probation and, if required by subsections (l) or (m), must impose the conditions relating to treatment and education described in those subsections. This subsection does not affect the right of a defendant to elect to serve the suspended sentence of imprisonment as provided in G.S. 15A-1341(c)."

Sec. 11. G.S. 20-179(k) reads as rewritten:

- "(k) Level Five Punishment. A defendant subject to Level Five punishment may be fined up to one hundred dollars (\$100.00) five hundred dollars (\$500.00) and must be sentenced to a term of imprisonment that includes a minimum term of not less than 24 hours and a maximum term of not more than 60–61 days. The term of imprisonment must be suspended, on the condition that the defendant:
 - (1) Be imprisoned for a term of 24 hours as a condition of special probation; or
 - (2) Perform community service for a term of 24 hours; or
 - (3) Not operate a motor vehicle for a term of 30 days; or
 - (4) Any combination of these conditions.

The judge may in his discretion impose any other lawful condition of probation and, if required by subsections (l) or (m), must impose the conditions relating to treatment and education described in those subsections. This subsection does not affect the right of a defendant to elect to serve the suspended sentence of imprisonment as provided in G.S. 15A-1341(c)."

Sec. 12. G.S. 20-139.1(b3) reads as rewritten:

- "(b3) Sequential Breath Tests Required. By January 1, 1985, the regulations of the Commission for Health Services governing the administration of chemical analyses of the breath must require the testing of at least duplicate sequential breath samples. Those regulations must provide:
 - (1) A specification as to the minimum observation period before collection of the first breath sample and the time requirements as to collection of second and subsequent samples.
 - (2) That the test results may only be used to prove a person's particular alcohol concentration if:
 - a. The pair of readings employed are from consecutively administered tests; and
 - b. The readings do not differ from each other by an alcohol concentration greater than 0.02.
 - (3) That when a pair of analyses meets the requirements of subdivision (2), only the lower of the two readings may be used by the State as proof of a person's alcohol concentration in any court or administrative proceeding.
- A person's willful refusal to give the sequential breath samples necessary to constitute a valid chemical analysis is a willful refusal under G.S. 20-16.2(c).
- 42 <u>If a person willfully refuses to submit to a chemical analysis by refusing to provide a</u> 43 second or subsequent breath sample, then

- (i) The result of the analysis of the sample providing the lowest alcohol concentration, if more than one sample is provided; or
 - (ii) If a single sample is provided, the result of that sample

may be used as evidence in any judicial or administrative proceeding for any relevant purpose, including, but not limited to

- (i) The establishment of probable cause;
- (ii) Corroboration of field sobriety tests; or
 - (iii) Evidence of impairment

provided, however, the result may not be used to prove that a person had a particular alcohol concentration to establish a violation of G.S. 20-138.1(a)(2)."

Sec. 13. G.S. 20-13.2(d) reads as rewritten:

"(d) A-The length of revocation under this section continues until shall be equal to the number of days from the date of the charge to the provisional licensee's 18th birthday reaches 18 years of age or 45 days have elapsed, whichever occurs lastis longer. Revocations under this section run concurrently with any other revocations, but a limited driving privilege issued pursuant to law does not authorize a provisional licensee to drive if his license is revoked under this section."

Sec. 14. G.S. 20-16.2(a1) reads as rewritten:

"(a1) Meaning of Terms. – Under this section, an 'implied-consent offense' is an offense involving impaired driving or an alcohol-related offense made subject to the procedures of this section. A person is 'charged' with an offense if he is arrested for it, or if-criminal process for the offense has been issued, or, if the person is a juvenile, he would have been arrested or criminal process would have been issued if he were an adult. A 'charging officer' is a law-enforcement officer who arrests the person charged, lodges the charges, takes the juvenile into protective custody, or assists the officer who arrested the person, or lodged the charge, or took the juvenile into protective custody by assuming custody of the person to make the request required by subsection (c) and, if necessary, to present the person to a judicial official for an initial appearance."

Sec. 15. G.S. 20-138.4 reads as rewritten:

"§ 20-138.4. Requirement that prosecutor explain reduction or dismissal of charge involving impaired driving.

In any case in which a person is charged with an offense involving impaired driving, Aany prosecutor must enter detailed facts in the record of any case involving impaired driving explaining the reasons for his action if he:

- (1) Enters a voluntary dismissal; or
- (2) Accepts a plea of guilty or no contest to a lesser included offense; or
- (3) Substitutes another charge, by statement of charges or otherwise, if the substitute charge carries a lesser mandatory minimum punishment or is not an offense involving impaired driving; or
- (4) Otherwise takes a discretionary action that effectively dismisses or reduces the original charge in the case involving impaired driving.

General explanations such as 'interests of justice' or 'insufficient evidence' are not sufficiently detailed to meet the requirements of this section."

Sec. 16. G.S. 20-179(e) reads as rewritten:

- "(e) Mitigating Factors to Be Weighed. The judge must also determine before sentencing under subsection (f) whether any of the mitigating factors listed below apply to the defendant. The judge must weigh the degree of mitigation of each factor in light of the particular circumstances of the case. The factors are:
 - (1) Slight impairment of the defendant's faculties resulting solely from alcohol, and an alcohol concentration that did not exceed <u>0.11-0.09</u> at any relevant time after the driving.
 - (2) Slight impairment of the defendant's faculties, resulting solely from alcohol, with no chemical analysis having been available to the defendant.
 - (3) Driving at the time of the offense that was safe and lawful except for the impairment of the defendant's faculties.
 - (4) A safe driving record, with the defendant's having no conviction for any motor vehicle offense for which at least four points are assigned under G.S. 20-16 or for which the person's license is subject to revocation within five years of the date of the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced.
 - (5) Impairment of the defendant's faculties caused primarily by a lawfully prescribed drug for an existing medical condition, and the amount of the drug taken was within the prescribed dosage.
 - (6) The defendant's voluntary submission to a mental health facility for assessment after he was charged with the impaired driving offense for which he is being sentenced, and, if recommended by the facility, his voluntary participation in the recommended treatment.
 - (7) Any other factor that mitigates the seriousness of the offense.

Except for the factors in subdivisions (4), (6) and (7), the conduct constituting the mitigating factor must occur during the same transaction or occurrence as the impaired driving offense."

Sec. 17. G.S. 20-179(c) reads as rewritten:

- "(c) Determining Existence of Grossly Aggravating Factors. At the sentencing hearing, based upon the evidence presented at trial and in the hearing, the judge must first determine whether there are any grossly aggravating factors in the case. If the defendant has been convicted of two or more prior offenses involving impaired driving, if the convictions occurred within seven years before the date of the offense for which he is being sentenced, the judge must impose the Level One punishment under subsection (g). The judge must also impose the Level One punishment if he determines that two or more of the following grossly aggravating factors apply:
 - (1) A single conviction for an offense involving impaired driving, if the conviction occurred within seven years before the date of the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced.
 - Oriving by the defendant at the time of the offense while his driver's license was revoked under G.S. 20-28, and the revocation was an impaired driving revocation under G.S. 20-28.2(a).

 (3) Serious injury to another person caused by the defendant's impaired driving at the time of the offense.

If the judge determines that only one of the above grossly aggravating factors applies, he must impose the Level Two punishment under subsection (h). In imposing a Level

One or Two punishment, the judge may consider the aggravating and mitigating factors in subsections (d) and (e) in determining the appropriate sentence. If there are no grossly aggravating factors in the case, the judge must weigh all aggravating and mitigating factors and impose punishment as required by subsection (f).

A conviction for another offense involving impaired driving, for which the conviction occurs after the date of the offense for which the defendant is presently being sentenced, but prior to or contemporaneously with the present sentencing, shall also constitute a prior conviction involving impaired driving for aggravation purposes of this subsection."

Sec. 18. This act becomes effective October 1, 1991.