GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 1993

H 2

HOUSE BILL 385* Committee Substitute Favorable 4/1/93

Short Title: DWI Amendments.	(Public)
Sponsors:	
Referred to:	

March 3, 1993

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO REDUCE THE BLOOD ALCOHOL CONTENT FOR DRIVING
3	WHILE IMPAIRED AND RELATED OFFENSES FROM 0.10 TO 0.08; TO
4	REDUCE THE MINIMUM BLOOD ALCOHOL CONTENT NECESSARY FOR
5	AN IMMEDIATE TEN-DAY REVOCATION OF DRIVING PRIVILEGES FROM
6	0.10 TO 0.08; TO REDUCE THE BLOOD ALCOHOL LEVEL TO BE
7	CONSIDERED AS SLIGHT IMPAIRMENT FROM 0.11 TO 0.09; TO MAKE
8	THE RESULTS OF A FIRST BREATH TEST ADMISSIBLE UNDER CERTAIN
9	CIRCUMSTANCES; TO CHANGE THE METHOD OF CALCULATING THE
10	REVOCATION OF A PROVISIONAL LICENSEE'S LICENSE FOR CERTAIN
11	ALCOHOL-RELATED OFFENSES; AND TO PROVIDE CLARIFICATION
12	ABOUT WHEN A PRIOR CONVICTION FOR DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED
13	CAN BE USED FOR AGGRAVATION PURPOSES.
14	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
15	Section 1. G.S. 20-138.1(a) reads as rewritten:
16	"(a) Offense. – A person commits the offense of impaired driving if he drives any
17	vehicle upon any highway, any street, or any public vehicular area within this State:
18	(1) While under the influence of an impairing substance; or

After having consumed sufficient alcohol that he has, at any relevant

time after the driving, an alcohol concentration of <u>0.10-0.08</u> or more." Sec. 2. G.S. 20-12.1(a) reads as rewritten:

19

20

21

(2)

- 1993 GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA 1 It is unlawful for any person to accompany another person driving a motor 2 vehicle, in accordance with G.S. 20-11, or instruct another person driving a motor 3 vehicle, in accordance with G.S. 20-7(1-1) and (m) or G.S. 20-12: While the person accompanying or instructing is under the influence of 4 (1) 5 an impairing substance; or 6 (2) After having consumed sufficient alcohol that he has, at any relevant 7 time after the driving, an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more." 8 Sec. 3. G.S. 20-16.2(a) reads as rewritten: 9 "(a) Basis for Charging Officer to Require Chemical Analysis; Notification of 10 Rights. – Any person who drives a vehicle on a highway or public vehicular area thereby gives consent to a chemical analysis if charged with an implied-consent offense. 11 12 The charging officer must designate the type of chemical analysis to be administered, 13 and it may be administered when the officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the 14 person charged has committed the implied-consent offense. 15 Except as provided in this subsection or subsection (b), before any type of chemical 16 analysis is administered the person charged must be taken before a chemical analyst 17 authorized to administer a test of a person's breath, who must inform the person orally 18 and also give the person a notice in writing that: 19 (1) He has a right to refuse to be tested. 20 **(2)** Refusal to take any required test or tests will result in an immediate 21 revocation of his driving privilege for at least 10 days and an additional 12-month revocation by the Division of Motor Vehicles. 22 23 (3) 24
 - The test results, or the fact of his refusal, will be admissible in evidence at trial on the offense charged.
 - (4) His driving privilege will be revoked immediately for at least 10 days if:
 - The test reveals an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more; a.
 - He was driving a commercial motor vehicle and the test reveals b. an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more.
 - (5) He may have a qualified person of his own choosing administer a chemical test or tests in addition to any test administered at the direction of the charging officer.
 - He has the right to call an attorney and select a witness to view for him (6) the testing procedures, but the testing may not be delayed for these purposes longer than 30 minutes from the time he is notified of his rights.

If the charging officer or an arresting officer is authorized to administer a chemical analysis of a person's breath and the charging officer designates a chemical analysis of the blood of the person charged, the charging officer or the arresting officer may give the person charged the oral and written notice of rights required by this subsection."

Sec. 4. G.S. 20-16.2(i) reads as rewritten:

Right to Chemical Analysis before Arrest or Charge. – A person stopped or questioned by a law-enforcement officer who is investigating whether the person may

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41 42

43

44

1 2

have committed an implied-consent offense may request the administration of a chemical analysis before any arrest or other charge is made for the offense. Upon this request, the officer must afford the person the opportunity to have a chemical analysis of his breath, if available, in accordance with the procedures required by G.S. 20-139.1(b). The request constitutes the person's consent to be transported by the law-enforcement officer to the place where the chemical analysis is to be administered. Before the chemical analysis is made, the person must confirm his request in writing and he must be notified:

- (1) That the test results will be admissible in evidence and may be used against him in any implied-consent offense that may arise;
 - (2) That his license will be revoked for at least 10 days if:
 - a. The test reveals an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more; or
 - b. He was driving a commercial motor vehicle and the test results reveal an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more.
- (3) That if he fails to comply fully with the test procedures, the officer may charge him with any offense for which the officer has probable cause, and if he is charged with an implied-consent offense, his refusal to submit to the testing required as a result of that charge would result in revocation of his driver's license. The results of the chemical analysis are admissible in evidence in any proceeding in which they are relevant."

Sec. 5. G.S. 20-16.5(b) reads as rewritten:

- "(b) Revocations for Persons Who Refuse Chemical Analyses or Have Alcohol Concentrations of <u>0.10-0.08</u> or More After Driving a Motor Vehicle or of 0.04 or More After Driving a Commercial Vehicle. A person's driver's license is subject to revocation under this section if:
 - (1) A charging officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the person has committed an offense subject to the implied-consent provisions of G.S. 20-16.2;
 - (2) The person is charged with that offense as provided in G.S. 20-16.2(a);
 - (3) The charging officer and the chemical analyst comply with the procedures of G.S. 20-16.2 and G.S. 20-139.1 in requiring the person's submission to or procuring a chemical analysis; and
 - (4) The person:
 - a. Willfully refuses to submit to the chemical analysis;
 - b. Has an alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more within a relevant time after the driving; or
 - c. Has an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more at any relevant time after the driving of a commercial vehicle."

Sec. 6. G.S. 20-16.5(b1) reads as rewritten:

"(b1) Precharge Test Results as Basis for Revocation. – Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (b), a person's driver's license is subject to revocation under this section if:

1 **(1)** He requests a precharge chemical analysis pursuant to G.S. 20-16.2(i); 2 and 3 **(2)** He has: 4 An alcohol concentration of 0.10-0.08 or more at any relevant a. 5 time after driving; or 6 b. An alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more at any relevant time 7 after driving a commercial motor vehicle; and 8 He is charged with an implied-consent offense." (3) 9 Sec. 7. G.S. 20-179(e) reads as rewritten: 10 Mitigating Factors to Be Weighed. – The judge must also determine before sentencing under subsection (f) whether any of the mitigating factors listed below apply 11 12 to the defendant. The judge must weigh the degree of mitigation of each factor in light of the particular circumstances of the case. The factors are: 13 14 (1) Slight impairment of the defendant's faculties resulting solely from 15 alcohol, and an alcohol concentration that did not exceed 0.11-0.09 at 16 any relevant time after the driving. 17 **(2)** Slight impairment of the defendant's faculties, resulting solely from alcohol, with no chemical analysis having been available to the 18 19 defendant. 20 Driving at the time of the offense that was safe and lawful except for (3) 21 the impairment of the defendant's faculties. A safe driving record, with the defendant's having no conviction for 22 **(4)** 23 any motor vehicle offense for which at least four points are assigned 24 under G.S. 20-16 or for which the person's license is subject to 25 revocation within five years of the date of the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced. 26 27 Impairment of the defendant's faculties caused primarily by (5) 28 a lawfully prescribed drug for an existing medical condition, and the 29 amount of the drug taken was within the prescribed dosage. 30 The defendant's voluntary submission to a mental health facility for (6) 31 assessment after he was charged with the impaired driving offense for 32 which he is being sentenced, and, if recommended by the facility, his 33 voluntary participation in the recommended treatment. 34 Any other factor that mitigates the seriousness of the offense. **(7)** 35 Except for the factors in subdivisions (4), (6) and (7), the conduct constituting the 36 mitigating factor must occur during the same transaction or occurrence as the impaired driving offense." 37 38 Sec. 8. G.S. 20-139.1(b3) reads as rewritten: "(b3) Sequential Breath Tests Required. - By January 1, 1985, the regulations of 39 the Commission for Health Services governing the administration of chemical analyses 40 41 of the breath must require the testing of at least duplicate sequential breath samples. 42 Those regulations must provide:

- 1 (1) A specification as to the minimum observation period before collection of the first breath sample and the time requirements as to collection of second and subsequent samples.

 4 (2) That the test results may only be used to prove a person's particular alcohol concentration if:

 a. The pair of readings employed are from consecutively
 - a. The pair of readings employed are from consecutively administered tests; and
 - b. The readings do not differ from each other by an alcohol concentration greater than 0.02.
 - (3) That when a pair of analyses meets the requirements of subdivision (2), only the lower of the two readings may be used by the State as proof of a person's alcohol concentration in any court or administrative proceeding.

A person's willful refusal to give the sequential breath samples necessary to constitute a valid chemical analysis is a willful refusal under G.S. 20-16.2(c).

A person's willful refusal to give the second sequential breath sample shall make the results of the first breath test admissible at trial, if it is otherwise admissible."

Sec. 9. G.S. 20-13.2(d) reads as rewritten:

"(d) A The length of revocation under this section continues until shall be (i) equal to the number of days from the date of the charge to the provisional licensee licensee's eighteenth birthday; or, (ii) equal to the number of days from the date of any occurrence giving rise to a civil revocation to the provisional licensee's eighteenth birthday; or, (iii) 45 days, reaches 18 years of age or 45 days have elapsed, whichever occurs lastis longer. Revocations under this section run concurrently with any other revocations, but a limited driving privilege issued pursuant to law does not authorize a provisional licensee to drive if his license is revoked under this section."

Sec. 10. G.S. 20-179(c) reads as rewritten:

- "(c) Determining Existence of Grossly Aggravating Factors; Habitual Offender. At the sentencing hearing, based upon the evidence presented at trial and in the hearing, the judge must first determine whether there are any grossly aggravating factors in the case. If the defendant has been convicted of two prior offenses involving impaired driving and the convictions occurred within seven years before the date of the offense for which he is being sentenced, the judge must impose the Level One punishment under subsection (g). The judge must impose the Level One punishment under subsection (g) of this section if:
 - (1) The defendant has been convicted of two prior offenses involving impaired driving, and the convictions occurred within seven years before the date of the offense for which he is being sentenced; or
 - The defendant has been convicted of a prior offense involving impaired driving and the conviction occurred within seven years before the date of the offense for which he is being sentenced and he has been convicted of a second offense involving impaired driving for which the conviction occurs after the date of the offense for which the defendant is presently being sentenced, but prior to or contemporaneously with the present sentencing; or

The defendant has been convicted of two offenses involving impaired driving for which the convictions occur after the date of the offense for which the defendant is presently being sentenced, but prior to or contemporaneously with the present sentencing.

The judge must also impose the Level One punishment under subsection (g) if he determines that two or more of the following grossly aggravating factors apply:

- (1) A single conviction for an offense involving impaired driving, if the conviction occurred within seven years before the date of the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced, or if the conviction occurs after the date of the offense for which the defendant is presently being sentenced, but prior to or contemporaneously with the present sentencing.
- (2) Driving by the defendant at the time of the offense while his driver's license was revoked under G.S. 20-28, and the revocation was an impaired driving revocation under G.S. 20-28.2(a).
- (3) Serious injury to another person caused by the defendant's impaired driving at the time of the offense.

If the judge determines that only one of the above grossly aggravating factors applies, he must impose the Level Two punishment under subsection (h). In imposing a Level One or Two punishment, the judge may consider the aggravating and mitigating factors in subsections (d) and (e) in determining the appropriate sentence. If there are no grossly aggravating factors in the case, the judge must weigh all aggravating and mitigating factors and impose punishment as required by subsection (f)."

Sec. 11. This act becomes effective October 1, 1993, and applies to all offenses committed on or after that date.