## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2005

## **SENATE BILL 922**

1

Short Title:Small Business Friendly Regulation.(Public)Sponsors:Senators Jacumin; Allran, Berger of Rockingham, Bingham, Brown,<br/>Forrester, Garwood, Smith, and Tillman.Referred to:Commerce.

## March 24, 2005

1	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT TO REQUIRE AGENCIES PROPOSING PERMANENT RULES THAT
3	HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESS TO COMPLETE A
4	FISCAL NOTE AND CONSIDER ALTERNATIVES AND TO REQUIRE
5	PERIODIC AGENCY REVIEW OF EXISTING RULES.
6	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:
7	<b>SECTION 1.</b> G.S. 150B-21.4 is amended by adding a new subsection to
8	read:
9	"(b2) Adverse Impact on Small Businesses. – Before an agency publishes in the
10	North Carolina Register the proposed text of a permanent rule change that would have
11	an adverse impact on small businesses and that is not identical to a federal regulation
12	that the agency is required to adopt, the agency must obtain a fiscal note for the
13	proposed rule change from the Office of State Budget and Management or prepare a
14	fiscal note for the proposed rule change and have the note approved by that Office. If an
15	agency requests the Office of State Budget and Management to prepare a fiscal note for
16	a proposed rule change, that Office must prepare the note within 90 days after receiving
17	a written request for the note. If the Office of State Budget and Management fails to
18	prepare a fiscal note within this time period, the agency proposing the rule change may
19	prepare a fiscal note. A fiscal note prepared in this circumstance does not require
20	approval of the Office of State Budget and Management.
21	If an agency prepares the required fiscal note, the agency must submit the note to the
22	Office of State Budget and Management for review. The Office of State Budget and
23	Management must review the fiscal note within 14 days after it is submitted and either
24	approve the note or inform the agency in writing of the reasons why it does not approve
25	the fiscal note. After addressing these reasons, the agency may submit the revised fiscal
26	note to that Office for its review. If an agency is not sure whether a proposed rule
27	change would have an adverse impact on small businesses, the agency may ask the

## General Assembly of North Carolina

1	Office of State	Budget and Management to determine whether the proposed rule change			
2	has an adverse impact on small businesses.				
3	In determining whether a rule has an adverse impact on small businesses, the agency				
4		he impact of the rule on small businesses, the impact of the rule on larger			
5		in the same sector, and the competitive nature of the sector to which the			
6	rule applies. De	pending on the particular circumstances, a rule may still have an adverse			
7		l businesses when the rule does not have a substantial economic impact			
8	-	n (b1) of this section."			
9	SEC'	<b>TION 2.</b> Article 2A of Chapter 150B of the General Statutes is			
10	amended by add	ding the following new Part to read:			
11	-	"Part 2A. Regulatory Flexibility.			
12	" <u>§ 150B-21.7A</u>	Definition.			
13	As used in t	his Part, the term 'small business' means a business entity, including its			
14		s both independently owned and operated and employs fewer than 500			
15	full-time emplo	byees or has gross annual revenues of less than six million dollars			
16	(\$6,000,000).				
17	" <u>§ 150B-21.7B</u> .	Regulatory flexibility analysis.			
18	Before an a	gency publishes in the North Carolina Register the proposed text of a			
19	permanent rule	that would have an adverse impact on small businesses, the agency shall			
20	prepare a regu	latory flexibility analysis. The purpose of the regulatory flexibility			
21	analysis is the consideration of alternative means of accomplishing the objectives of the				
22	applicable statu	tes while minimizing the adverse impact on small businesses. The Rules			
23	Review Commission shall advise agencies in complying with this section. In conducting				
24	the analysis, the	e agency shall consider the following methods for reducing the adverse			
25	impact on small	l businesses:			
26	<u>(1)</u>	The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting			
27		requirements for small businesses.			
28	<u>(2)</u>	The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for			
29		compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses.			
30	<u>(3)</u>	The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting			
31		requirements for small businesses.			
32	<u>(4)</u>	The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to			
33		replace design or operation standards required in the proposed rule.			
34	<u>(5)</u>	The exemption of small businesses from all or part of the requirements			
35		contained in the proposed rule.			
36		. Review of existing rules.			
37		must periodically review the rules adopted by the agency to ensure that			
38	•	the adverse impact on small businesses in a manner consistent with the			
39		e applicable statutes. This review must take place at least once every five			
40	•	wing rules under this section, the agency shall consider the following			
41	factors:				
42	<u>(1)</u>	The continued need for the rule.			
43	<u>(2)</u>	The nature of complaints or comments received from the public			
44		concerning the rule.			

	General Assem	Session 2005			
1	<u>(3)</u>	The complexity of the rule.			
2	$\frac{(3)}{(4)}$	The extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates,	or conflicts with		
23	<u>(+)</u>	other federal or State rules or local government ordina			
4	<u>(5)</u>	The length of time since the rule has been evaluated a			
5	<u>(5)</u>	the length of time the rule will continue to be necessar			
6	<u>(6)</u>	The degree to which technology, economic condition	•		
7	<u>(0)</u>	have changed in the area affected by the rule."			
8	SEC	<b>TION 3.</b> G.S. 150B-21.9 reads as rewritten:			
9		Standards and timetable for review by Commission.			
10		lards. – The Commission must determine whether a rul			
11	following criter				
12	(1)	It is within the authority delegated to the agency	by the General		
13		Assembly.	5		
14	(2)	It is clear and unambiguous.			
15	(3)	It is reasonably necessary to fulfill a duty delegated	to the agency by		
16		the General Assembly, when considered in light of	of the cumulative		
17		effect of all rules adopted by the agency related to the	e specific purpose		
18		for which the rule is proposed and the legislative inte	ent of the General		
19		Assembly in delegating the duty.			
20	The Commission may determine if a rule submitted to it was adopted in accordance				
21	with Part 2 and Part 2A of this Article. The Commission may ask the Office of State				
22	Budget and Management to determine if a rule has a substantial economic impact and is				
23	therefore required to have a fiscal note. The Commission must ask the Office of State				
24	Budget and Management to make this determination if a fiscal note was not prepared for				
25	a rule and the Commission receives a written request for a determination of whether the				
26		antial economic impact.			
27		ssion must notify the agency that adopted the rule if it			
28		dopted in accordance with Part 2 or Part 2A of this			
29	return the rule to the agency. Entry of a rule in the North Carolina Administrative Code				
30	•	the Commission is conclusive evidence that the rule	e was adopted in		
31		n Part 2 and Part 2A of this Article.			
32	( )	table. – The Commission must review a rule submitted			
33		f a month by the last day of the next month. The C			
34 25		Ibmitted to it after the twentieth of a month by the last	day of the second		
35	subsequent mor		CC (* (1		
36		<b>TION 4.</b> For rules that are in effect when this act beco			
37	-	uired under G.S. 150B-21.7C, enacted under Section 2 ithin five years after the date this act becomes affective			
38 20		ithin five years after the date this act becomes effective			
39	SEC	<b>TION 5.</b> This act becomes effective October 1, 2005.			